

Large Scale C++ With Modules: What You Should Know

Gabriel Dos Reis



Overview

Where We Are (Today)

USE-DATE.CXX

```
#include <iostream>
#include "Calendar/date.h"

int main() {
    using namespace Chrono;
    Date date { 22, Month::Sep, 2015 };
    std::cout << "Today is " << date << std::endl;
}</pre>
```

CALENDAR/DATE.H

```
#ifndef CHRONO DATE INCLUDED
#define CHRONO DATE INCLUDED
#include <iosfwd>
#include <string>
#include "Calendar/Month.h"
namespace Chrono {
     struct Date
           Date(int, Month, int);
           int day() const { return d; }
           Month month() const { return m; }
           Int year() const { return y; }
     private:
           int d;
           Month m;
           int y;
     std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream&, const Date&);</pre>
     Std::string to string(const Date&);
#endif // CHRONO DATE INCLUDED
```

A Better Place to Be

USE-DATE.CXX

```
import std.io;
import calendar.date;

int main() {
    using namespace Chrono;
    Date date { 22, Month::Sep, 2015 };
    std::cout << "Today is " << date << std::endl;
}</pre>
```

CALENDAR/DATE.CXX

```
import std.io;
import std.string;
import calendar.month;
module calendar.date;
namespace Chrono {
     export
     struct Date {
           Date(int, Month, int);
           int day() const { return d; }
           Month month() const { return m; }
           Int year() const { return y; }
      private:
           int d;
           Month m;
           int y;
      export
      std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream&, const Date&);</pre>
      export
      std::string to string(const Date&);
```

A Better Place to Be

USE-DATE.CXX

```
import std.io;
import calendar.date;

int main() {
    using namespace Chrono;
    Date date { 18, Month::Sep, 2015 };
    std::cout << "Today is " << date << std::endl;
}</pre>
```

CALENDAR/DATE.CXX

```
import std.io;
import std.string;
import calendar.month;
module calendar.date;
namespace Chrono {
     struct Date
           Date(int, Month, int);
           int day() const { return d; }
           Month month() const { return m; }
           Int year() const { return y; }
     private:
           int d;
           Month m;
           int y;
     export
     std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream&, const Date&);</pre>
     export
     Std::string to string(const Date&);
```

What Is The Big Deal?

> Well,

```
#include <iostream>
#include "Calendar/date.h"

int main() {
    using namespace Chrono;
    Date date { 18, Month::Sep, 2015 };
    std::cout << "Today is " << date << std::endl;
}</pre>
```

- is 176 bytes of user-authored text file (what user sees)

What Is The Big Deal?

> Well,

```
#include <iostream>
#include "Calendar/date.h"

int main() {
    using namespace Chrono;
    Date date { 18, Month::Sep, 2015 };
    std::cout << "Today is " << date << std::endl;
}</pre>
```

- is 176 bytes of user-authored text file (what user sees)
- expands to (what compiler sees)
 - > 412,326 bytes with GCC 5.2.0 or 234,276% compression expansion
 - > 1,203,953 bytes with Clang 3.6.1– or 684,064% inflation
 - > 1,083,255 bytes with VC++ Dev14 or 615,485% inflation


What Is The Big Deal?

> Well,


```
#include <iostream>
#include "Calendar/date.h"

int main() {
    using namespace Chrono;
    Date date { 18, Month::Sep, 2015 };
    std::cout << "Today is " << date << std::endl;
}</pre>
```

- is 176 bytes of user-authored text file (what user sees)
- expands to (what compiler sees)
 - > 412,326 bytes with GCC 5.2.0
 - > 1,203,953 bytes with Clang 3.6.1
 - > 1,083,255 bytes with VC++ Dev14







- Preprocessor directive #include is textual copy and paste
 - Compiler works hard to process the same entity multiple times
 - Linker works hard to throw all of them away, except one
 - Miserable build throughput



Why Is It a Big Deal?

- > Preprocessor directive #include is textual copy and paste
 - Compiler works hard to process the same entity multiple times
 - Linker works hard to throw all of them away, except one
 - Miserable build throughput
- Copy: No consistency guarantee
 - Hard to track bugs (famous "ODR" violation)
 - No component boundaries, brittle enforcement



Why Is It a Big Deal?

- > Preprocessor directive #include is textual copy and paste
 - Compiler works hard to process the same entity multiple times
 - Linker works hard to throw all of them away, except one
 - Miserable build throughput
- Copy: No consistency guarantee
 - Hard to track bugs (famous "ODR" violation)
 - No component boundaries, brittle enforcement
- C Preprocessor technology: Impossible to correctly parse/analyze a component
 - Need to know all macros

Pressing Challenges for Modern C++

- > Source Code Organization at Large
 - Scaling beyond billions of lines of code
 - Producing, composing, consuming components with well-defined semantics boundaries
- > Paucity of Semantics-Aware Developer Tools
 - Serious impediment to programmer productivity
 - Great disadvantage vis-à-vis contemporary languages (C#, Java, Ada, etc.)
 - Reason not to adopt C++
 - Reason to migrate away from C++
- > Build time Scalability of Idiomatic C++
 - Distributed build, cloud build, etc.
 - > Use **semantics** difference to accelerate build

Aims

- > Give C++ a module system, improving
 - 1. Componentization
 - 2. Isolation (from macros)
 - 3. Build throughput
 - 4. Support for modern semantics-aware developer tools
- > Deliver now, use for decades to come
 - Target: C++17 (yes, it can be done)

> Non Goals:

- Improve or remove the preprocessor

When Can I Use It?

When Can I Use It? - Feedback based on use

- > MS VC 2015 Update 1 Timeframe
 - Experimental implementation of the module proposal

 - Evidence of feasibility (for C++17)

When Can I Use It?

- > MS VC 2015 Update 1 Timeframe
 - Experimental implementation of the module proposal
 - Feedback based on use
 - Evidence of feasibility (for C++17)
- Clang has an implementation based on "module maps"

What Hand Are We Dealt?

Program Organization

- > Program = Collection of independently translated units
 - Each TU processed in isolation, without knowledge of peer TUs
- > TUs communicate by brandishing declarations for external names
 - No explicit dependency on TU or component provider
 - No good scalable way to check/verify consistency
- Linker resolves users of external names to whichever definitions happen to match (somehow)
 - Type-safe linkage problems
 - Opportunities for One Definition Rule (ODR) violation

Basic Linking Model

1.cc (producer of quant)

```
int quant(int x, int y) {
    return x*x + y*y;
}
```

2.cc (consumer of quant)

```
extern int quant(int, int);
int main() {
   return quant(3, 4);
}
```

3.cc (YAPoQ)

```
#include <stdlib.h>
int quant(int x, int y) {
    return abs(x) + abs(y);
}
```

- Valid programs: (a) 1.cc and 2.cc; (b) 2.cc and 3.cc
- Useful, effective, but low-level and brittle
 - Leak implementation details to language specification

The Root Cause: One Definition Rule (ODR)

- > What is ODR anyway?
 - Bjarne Stroustrup:
 - > "I asked Dennis when I started in 1979."
 - [DMR]:
 - "as if there was exactly one section of source text"
- > In the C++ standards
 - Several pages of opaque text about token-for-token comparison, name lookup, overload resolution, template instantiation contexts, etc.
 - Bjarne Stroustrup:
 - "Every single word about "token comparison" is there to workaround absence of a real module system"



Consumption

> As simple as

What is a Module?

 Collection of related translation units, with a well-defined set of entry points

 Module interface: set of declarations available to any consumer of a module

> Module unit: TU element of a module

 Module name: symbolic reference for a module

Module interface

Module Unit (implementation)

Module Unit (implementation)

Module Unit implementation Module Unit

Module Unit

Module Unit (implementation

My.Module

Production

As simple as

```
import std.io;
import std.string;
import calendar.month;
module calendar.date;
namespace Chrono {
     export struct Date {
           Date(int, Month, int);
           int day() const { return d; }
           Month month() const { return m; }
           Int year() const { return y; }
     private:
           int d;
           Month m;
           int y;
     };
     export std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream& os, const Date& d)</pre>
         // ...
     export std::string to_string(const Date& d)
         // ...
```

Production

> As simple as

```
import std.io;
import std.string;
import calendar.month;
module calendar.date;
export namespace Chrono {
     struct Date {
           Date(int, Month, int);
           int day() const { return d; }
           Month month() const { return m; }
           Int year() const { return y; }
     private:
           int d;
           Month m;
           int y;
     };
     std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream& os, const Date& d)</pre>
         // ...
     std::string to_string(const Date& d)
         // ...
```

Production

As simple as

```
#include <iostream>
import std.string;
import calendar.month;
module calendar.date;
namespace Chrono {
     export struct Date {
           Date(int, Month, int);
           int day() const { return d; }
           Month month() const { return m; }
           Int year() const { return y; }
     private:
           int d;
           Month m;
           int y;
     };
     export std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream& os, const Date& d)</pre>
         // ...
     export std::string to_string(const Date& d)
         // ...
```

The Pedestrian's View

- Modules are isolated from macros
 - Interface is "compiled" set of exported entities
 - Not affected by macros defined in the importing TU
 - Conversely, macros defined in a module do not leak out
- > A unique place where exported entities are declared
 - A module can be just one TU, or several Tus with a distinguished TU for exports
- > Every entity is defined at exactly one place, and processed only once
 - Owner by the defining module
 - Except full semantics analysis of templates
 - Exception is made for "global module" (for seamless integration)
- No new name lookup rules
 - We have too many already, and nobody knows how many
- Modules do not replace header files
 - Macro heavy interfaces are likely to continue using header files, with fairly modularized subcomponents
- > Build time is faster (goal)

The Rules of Engagement

What To Expect

- > Module owns entities in its purview
 - ODR: every entity is defined exactly once
- > Order of consecutive import declarations is irrelevant
- > Modules are isolated from macros
- > Import declarations only makes name available
 - You don't pay for what you don't use

- > Module metadata suitable for use by packaging systems
- > Modules provide ownership

Anatomy of a Module Unit

Module purview

```
#include <iostream>
import Enum.Utils;
                                          // for bits::rep().
module Calendar.Month;
namespace Chrono {
     export enum class Month { Jan = 1, Feb, Mar, Apr, May, Jun, /*... */ };
     constexpr const char* month_name_table[] = {
          "January", "February", /* ... */
    };
     export std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream& os, Month m)</pre>
         assert(m >= Month::Jan and m <= Month::Dec);</pre>
         return os << month name table[bits::rep(m) - 1];</pre>
```

Anatomy of a Module Unit

Module purview

```
#include <iostream>
import Enum.Utils;
                                          // for bits::rep().
module Calendar.Month;
namespace Chrono {
     export enum class Month { Jan = 1, Feb, Mar, Apr, May, Jun, /*... */ };
     constexpr const char* month_name_table[] = {
          "January", "February", /* ... */
    };
     export std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream& os, Month m)</pre>
         assert(m >= Month::Jan and m <= Month::Dec);</pre>
         return os << month name table[bits::rep(m) - 1];</pre>
```

Namespace partition


A Word on Ownership

Impoverished Linking Abstractions

- > Strings and bytes
 - Name "mangling" or name "decoration"
 - Unfortunate leakage to language specification
- > Standard "linkage" far behind the practice and needs of our time
- > Examples:
 - GCC and Clang support linkage "visibility"
 - > default
 - > hidden
 - > internal
 - > protected
 - VC++ supports:
 - > dllimport
 - > dllexport

Tool Support VC++ ongoing implementation

Production: Compiling a module interface



Production: Compiling a module interface


src.cxx

cl-c/module /module:interface


src.obj

My.Module.ifc

Consumption



Consumption



Compiler Options

> /module

- > Turn on module support
- > New keywords: module, import, export

> /module:interface

Force the compiler to interpret source code as module interface definition

> /module:reference <filename>

Look for a compiled module interface (IFC) in the file designated by the path

> /module:search <directory>

> Search directory for referenced files

Transition paths

- > Can one consume a header file as a module?
 - Only if the header file "behaves" well
 - /module:export vec.cxx /module:name std.vector
- > Ablity to selectively export "well behaved" macros
 - /module:exportMacro <macroName>

Compiled Module Interface (IFC)

> Binary Format Designed to represent C++

- Intended to be open (ideally used by all C++ implementations)
- Recognizable by C++ programmers and implementers alike
- An open-source reference implementation (e.g. on GitHub)
- Compact, efficient, complete


> Structure:

- Set of homogeneous tables representing all relevant entities
- "pointers" are represented by typed indices (all 32-bit wide)
- A "header" describing table locations, size, etc.
- Principle: every index is well typed.
- Deterministically produced by input module source file

> Tooling

- Inspecting; embedding into static LIB or DLL; etc.
- IDE integration

Enhancing Libraries with Module Interfaces



User Feedback

Syntax

"This is simple and elegant. Please do not make it ugly because it has to be C++"

- OK. I will try.

Standardization

- "Can I get it in C++17?"
 - We are trying.
- > "Pretty please, give me modules now"
 - We are trying
- "What about the IFC format"
 - After modules.
- > "Really??? Are you kidding?"
 - No, but I can use some help

Controlling Visibility

- "What about inaccessible members? Are they exported too?"
 - Yes, but I hope we find a good solution
- > "Come on!"

> See talks

- by Steve and Ayman: "What is New in Visual C++ 2015 and Future Directions", Thursday

- Neil MacIntosh on Static Analysis and Safe Buffer Types

- gdr on "Contracts", tomorrow



Thanks!

Questions?